The Mitochondrial Genome of the Moss *Brachythecium rivulare* **(Hypnales, Brachytheciaceae)**

D. V. Goryunov¹, M. D. Logacheva^{1,2}, M. S. Ignatov³, **I. A. Milyutina¹ , A. V. Fedorova¹ , and A. V. Troitsky¹ ***

Belozersky Institute of Physico-Chemical Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia; E-mail: bobr@belozersky.msu.ru Extreme Biology Laboratory, Institute of Fundamental Medicine and Biology, Kazan Federal University, 420012 Kazan, Russia Main Botanical Garden, Russian Academy of Sciences, 127276 Moscow, Russia

Received September 11, 2017

Abstract—The mitochondrial genome of the pleurocarpous moss *Brachythecium rivulare* has been sequenced and annotated. The genome consists of 104,460 base pairs and has approximately the same gene set and organization as other bryophyte mitogenomes. Whole mitochondrial genome comparison between *B. rivulare* and *Physcomitrella patens*, *Tetraphis pellucida*, *Anomodon rugelii*, and *Anomodon attenuatus* was performed. The primary cause of bryophyte mitochondrial gene length variation was found to be numerous indels in the introns. Bryophyte mitochondrial gene conservation level was estimated, and it was in a good congruence with the overall phylogeny of bryophytes with the percentage of mitogenome similarity being proportional to the age estimated by phylochronologic analysis. Annotation discrepancies in the analyzed mitogenome sequences were identified. The simple sequence repeat (SSR) content was evaluated, and candidate sites of RNA editing were predicted in the *B. rivulare* mitochondrial genome.

DOI: 10.1134/S0006297917110153

Keywords: mitochondrial genome, *Brachythecium rivulare*, Bryophyta, mosses

Bryophytes *sensu lato* are the earliest terrestrial group of plants that still exist on Earth. Bryophyta (*sensu stricto*), or mosses, branched off from the stem of the Embryophyta phylogenetic tree after Marchantiophyta and before the separation of Anthocerotophyta [1-4], although an alternative topology with Anthocerotophyta in the basal position repeatedly appears in analyses [5]. Estimates of the date of moss origin vary greatly from 440 to 710 mya [6]. These nonvascular pioneers of land plants first acquired morphological, biochemical, and physiological adaptations that enabled the transition from aquatic to terrestrial habitats. The primary terrestrial biotopes formed by the bryophytes were important spots for the subsequent colonization of land by other plant evolutionary lineages. However, there is no comprehensive scenario of this crucial step in plant evolution. One approach to clarifying some obscurities of evolution is comparative genomics. Comparative genomics can be

used to investigate the diversity of genome structure across different groups of living beings, to identify genome organization conformities, and to understand the mechanisms and factors of its evolution.

Recently, the development of next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies created new opportunities for genome studies and dramatically changed the methodology of investigation. As a result, many new genome sequences of different organisms have been loaded into the NCBI database. However, bryophyte genomics remains in the early stages of progress in comparison with other groups of plants. Until recently, the nuclear genome sequence was only available for a single moss species, namely *Physcomitrella patens* [7], and for eight species plastid genomes are known. Mitochondrial genomes from 39 moss species from 11 orders have been deposited in the NCBI GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to date. This is significantly fewer than for vascular plants, for which sequences of 164 mitochondrial genomes are present in GenBank.

Although moss chondrioms are rather conservative in structure [3, 8-10], the available data are scarce; six orders represented by only single species. The largest

Abbreviations: CDSs, coding sequences; mya, million years ago; NGS, next generation sequencing; ORF, open reading frame; SSR, simple sequence repeat.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Hypnales order, consisting of 42 families, is represented by only five species from four families.

In the current study, we performed sequencing, assembly, and annotation of the mitochondrial genome of the moss *Brachythecium rivulare* (Hypnales, Brachytheciaceae) and compared it to the mitogenomes of four other mosses: two hypnaceous *Anomodon rugelii* NC_016121 [8] and *Anomodon attenuatus* NC_021931 [3] from Anomodontaceae, and two evolutionarily more distant *Physcomitrella patens* NC_007945 (Funariales, Funariaceae) [11] and *Tetraphis pellucida* NC_024290 (Tetraphidaceae) [9] from another class, Tetraphidopsida.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The *Brachythecium rivulare* Schimp. plant sample was collected in the Moscow Region in a public park in a Moscow city suburb where permission to collect plants is not required. Approximately 1 µg of total DNA was isolated using a Nucleospin Plant Extraction Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). Two pair-end libraries with insert sizes ca. 164 and 259 bp were obtained. The sequencing procedure was accomplished on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 NGS platform (Illumina, USA). Both library preparation and sequencing were performed following standard Illumina protocols.

The raw sequencing data consisted of approximately 187 and 175 million of 101-bp paired reads. After trimming low-quality read positions and the removal of sequencing adapters, the read quantity was 136.4 and 117.9 million read pairs, respectively. Ten million read pairs from each library were extracted and assembled using Velvet [12] with a *k*-mer length equal to 91. The assembly consisted of 617 contigs with a total length of 573,364 bp, and the longest contig (104,474 bp in length) was the complete mitochondrial genome with partially overlapping ends and 61× coverage.

After clipping the overlapping contig ends to verify the correctness of the nucleotide sequence ends, we closed it into circular form and then mapped the initial read subset to the genome end junction sequences with Bowtie 2 [13] and used Tablet [14] for SAM file visualization. For multiple nucleotide alignment and analyses of whole moss mitochondrial genomes, the Geneious software package [15] was utilized. For annotation of the assembled *B. rivulare* mitogenome, the annotated mitochondrial genome sequences of *A. attenuatus*, *A. rugelii*, *T. pellucida*, and *P. patens* were downloaded from the NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and used as references.

For predicting RNA-editing sites in *B. rivulare* mitochondria, the BLASTx method in PREPACT 2.0 [16] was used. As references, species of 11 angiosperms, two lycopods, and the moss *P. patens* were used. For simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci identification, IMEx [17]

and GMATo [18] tools were applied. Artemis [19], BLAST [20], BioEdit [21], genoPlotR [22], and the CGView Server [23] (http://stothard.afns.ualberta.ca/ cgview_server) were also used in data analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall structure of the *B. rivulare* **mitochondrial genome.** The *B. rivulare* mitogenome was assembled as a single circular molecule (Fig. 1) and was deposited in the NCBI GenBank under accession number KR732319. The genome consists of 104,460 bp, and it was in the range of values from other studied mosses, except for *Sphagnum palustre* KC_784957, which has the largest mitogenome (141,276 bp) [3]. The comparison of selected features of the five bryophyte mitochondrial genomes is presented in Table S1 (see Supplement to this paper on the site of the journal (http://protein.bio.msu.ru/ biokhimiya) and Springer site (Link.springer.com)).

The *B. rivulare* mitochondrial genome has the same gene set and order as other known bryophyte mitogenomes. The genome contains three genes for rRNAs, 24 genes for tRNAs, 10 genes for the small subunit ribosomal proteins, five genes for the large subunit ribosomal proteins, nine, two, one, three, and five genes for mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes I, II, III, IV, and V, respectively, four genes involved in cytochrome *c* biogenesis, one gene for the sec-independent protein, three pseudogenes (reverse transcriptase-like protein, *rps8* and *rps10*), and six different ORF genes.

Refinements of annotations of some known bryophyte mitogenomes. Despite the high similarity in the gene content of the compared mosses, some discrepancies in their annotations were identified. For example, the ORF134 gene is not annotated in the *P. patens*, *T. pellucida*, and *A*. *attenuatus g*enomes. Interestingly, there are two insertions of 8 and 1 bp in this locus of *P. patens* that are spaced by a 100-bp nucleotide sequence. The last insertion returns the translated protein product to the correct reading frame, thus suggesting a functional role for this genome region. In contrast, the substitution of the tryptophan codon (TGG) in *B. rivulare* for the stop codon (UAG) in *A. rugelii*, *A. attenuatus*, and *P. patens* and the presence of multiple internal stop codons and indels in *T. pellucida* may indicate pseudogenization of ORF134.

The ORF101 and ORF124 genes are also not annotated in *A. attenuatus*, *P. patens*, and *T. pellucida*. In *A. attenuatus*, the ORF124 locus has a 17-bp deletion at its 3′ end, resulting in a reading frame shift. Thus, the functional activity and correct annotation of the locus remains unresolved.

ORF807 is not annotated in *A. attenuatus*. Instead, *P. patens* and *T. pellucida* contain ORF622, which is almost completely located in the ORF807 locus coordinates. Importantly, the sequence of this ORF is quite conserved

Fig. 1. Map of the *B. rivulare* mitochondrial genome. The circular scheme consists of several rings as follows: 1) (outer) forward strand – coding sequences (CDSs) and introns; 2) forward strand ORFs; 3, 4) the same locuses but located on the reverse strand; 5) GC content distribution across the sequence. The two latter internal rings show a GC skew for the forward and reverse strands as well as the genome coordinates.

as its identity in *B. rivulare* and *T. pellucida* is close to 90%.

ORF108 is 327-bp long in *B. rivulare*, *A. attenuatus*, and *A. rugelii*, but it is not annotated in *A. attenuatus*. In *P. patens*, this ORF is almost completely lost, with only 63 bp at the 3′ end. In *T. pellucida*, there are multiple internal stop codons and indels in its sequence.

ORF533 is not annotated in *A. attenuatus.*

The *rpl10* gene is named ORF187 but not annotated in *P. patens*, and this gene is annotated as functional in other mosses. However, the question of the existence of

BIOCHEMISTRY (Moscow) Vol. 82 No. 11 2017

functional *rpl10* in the *P. patens* mitochondrial genome is not completely solved, which was described in detail by Kubo and Arimura [24]. There is no direct evidence of activity for this gene in mosses. However, transcripts from *rpl10* have been detected in the liverwort *Marchantia* and the hornwort *Megaceros* [24]. In mosses, we found differences both in length and sequence near the 3′ end of this gene, resulting in shifting of the reading frame.

In the *P. patens*, *T. pellucida*, and *A. attenuatus* mitogenomes, the *rps8*, *rps10*, and *rtl* pseudogenes are not

Fig. 2. Distribution of *B. rivulare* mitogenome gene length (a), exon length (b), and intron length (c). *L*, length in base pairs; *n*, number of corresponding sequences. The length of most of the genes is in the first interval from 0 to 1000 bp (minimal length is 71 bp). Most of the exons lie in the interval from 0 to 200 bp (with a minimal length of 8 bp). The most common intron length ranges from 500 to 1000 bp.

annotated. The *rps10* pseudogene in *T. pellucida* is almost completely lost.

The analysis of the five moss mitogenome alignments showed additional potential inaccuracies in the annotations. In *P. patens* and *T. pellucida*, the *cox2* gene intron 3 and exon 4 regions are missing in the annotation. The *atp9* gene in *T. pellucida* may not have the correct 3′ boundary, because it is 78 bp shorter from its 3′ end with a C to U RNA-editing site creating the correct stop codon (UGA). The same situation is evident for the *T. pellucida atp1* gene because its size is shorter by 9 bp, with an additional C to U RNA-editing site. In addition, the *rpl15* gene name of *T. pellucida* should be changed to *rpl5*. This locus is treated under the name *rpl5* in the other available moss mitogenomes, and introducing a new one is not necessary.

Characteristics of bryophyte mitochondrial genomes. *Gene lengths*. The distributions of the lengths of the *B. rivulare* mitochondrial genes, exons, and introns are shown in Fig. 2. The *B. rivulare* exon length varies from 8 bp (exon 3 of *atp9*) to 1314 bp (exon 2 of *nad2*). The *B. rivulare* intron length ranges from 390 to 2639 bp (introns 2 and 3 of *cox1*, respectively).

The *B. rivulare* gene length varies from 71 bp (*trnG* and *trnC*) to 8290 bp (*cox1*) (Fig. 2). Thus, the longest *B. rivulare* genes are *cox1*, *nad5* (6341 bp), and *cox2* (4550 bp). The same genes are the longest in *A. attenuatus* and *A. rugelii*. In *P. patens*, the longest genes are *cox1* (7602 bp), *nad5* (6095 bp), and *nad7* (3210 bp). In *T. pel-* *lucida*, the longest genes are *cox1* (9252 bp), *atp9* (4372 bp), and *atp1* (3281 bp).

The genes that are the most variable in length of the four moss mitochondrial genomes are *atp9* (from 3253 bp in *A. attenuatus* to 4372 bp in *T. pellucida*), *cox1* (from 7602 bp in *P. patens* to 9252 bp in *T. pellucida*), *cob* (from 1753 bp in *B. rivulare* to 2175 bp in *P. patens*), *cox2* (from 3119 bp in *P. patens* to 4601 bp in *A. attenuatus* and *A. rugelii*), *atp1* (from 2684 bp in *A. attenuatus* to 3281 bp in *T. pellucida*), and *nad9* (from 1711 bp in *T. pellucida* to 2629 bp in *A. attenuatus* and *A. rugelii*).

The main reason for the differences in gene size is the presence of numerous indels in the introns. For example, *P. patens* has two large deletions in intron 4 of *cox1* (106 and 500 bp), single deletions in introns 2 and 3 of *nad5* (136 and 73 bp, respectively), three large deletions in intron 1 of *nad4L* (271, 45, and 73 bp), and many smaller deletions. *Tetraphis pellucida* has long insertions in intron 1 of *cox1* as well as in introns 1 and 3 of *atp9*.

Gene size variation in ribosomal RNA genes was also identified in *rrn18* (from 1587 bp in *P. patens* to 1738 bp in *A. attenuatus*) and *rrn26* (from 2946 bp in *P. patens* to 3387 bp in *B. rivulare*). *Anomodon attenuatus* has an insertion in the 18S rDNA (16 bp). Moreover, there are two deletions in the *rrn18* gene of *P. patens* (131 and 4 bp). In the 26S rDNA of *P. patens*, a number of deletions (the largest deletions were 73 and 365 bp) and one insertion (3 bp) were found.

RNA editing. The editing of transcripts in mitochondria occurs in all land plants except marchantiid liverworts. RNA-editing frequencies vary widely across land plants, see [25-27] and references therein. C→U editing dominates among seed plants, mosses, and nonmarchantiid liverworts, whereas frequent U→C transition by amination is frequent in mosses, hornworts, lycophytes, and ferns.

Fig. 3. Distribution of SSR repeat unit length in the *B. rivulare* mitogenome. The numbers of base pairs in different microsatellite classes identified in the analyzed genome are on the horizontal axis. The number of loci in each SSR category (1, 2, 3, 4, and 6) is shown on the y-axis. The mononucleotide repeats are the majority of identified SSR sequences (34 loci). Hexanucleotides are the least represented (only one locus was found).

BIOCHEMISTRY (Moscow) Vol. 82 No. 11 2017

For predicting RNA-editing sites in *B. rivulare* mitochondria by PREPACT 2.0, species of 11 angiosperms, two lycopods, and the moss *P. patens* were used as references. As a result, 47 and 189 potential sites were predicted by 100 and 75% of references, respectively. The maximum number of hits was revealed for the Cucurbitaceae species *Citrullus lanatus* (336) and *Cucurbita pepo* (335). Using *P. patens* as a reference, 27 C \rightarrow U and 75 U \rightarrow C events were identified (Table S2, see Supplement).

SSR content. Following the more stringent criteria of perfect SSR loci identification (minimal number of repeating units ≥ 10 for mononucleotides, ≥ 5 for dinucleotides, ≥ 4 for trinucleotides, and ≥ 3 for tetra-, penta-, and hexanucleotides), 63 SSR loci were identified in the *B. rivulare* mitochondrial genome. Three SSR loci in coding regions, and 60 SSR loci are in noncoding regions. The total perfect SSR length is 734 bp, which is approximately 0.7% of the total genome size. The average SSR loci size is 11.65 bp. The distribution of SSR sequences between different classes is shown in Fig. 3 and Table S3 (see Supplement).

Gene conservation level in bryophyte mitogenomes. Liu et al. [3] demonstrated a great conservation of both structure and sequence of mitochondrial genomes of mosses, and our data agree with this finding. To estimate the variability of the different loci in the *B. rivulare* mitogenome, we compared the different loci in terms of the Geneious similarity measure to orthologous loci (excluding pseudogenes, RNA, and ORF genes) from *P. patens*, *A. attenuatus*, *A. rugelii*, and *T. pellucida* (table). The most conservative gene is *rpl16* when performing pairwise mitogenome comparisons of *B. rivulare* with *P. patens*, *A. attenuatus*, and *A. rugelii*, and the most conservative gene is *rpl6* when comparing *B. rivulare* and *T. pellucida*. The most variable gene when comparing *B. rivulare* with *A. rugelii* and *A. attenuatus* is *cob* (as mentioned above because of the presence of a deletion in intron 1 in *B. rivulare*) encoding the ubiquinol-cytochrome *c* oxidoreductase subunit. Compared to the *P. patens* gene set, the most variable gene is *nad4L* (encoding the NADH dehydrogenase 4L protein) because of the existence of multiple deletions in the *P. patens nad4L* intron 1. Compared to *T. pellucida*, the most variable gene is the *atp9* gene.

The gene similarity between *B. rivulare* and *A. rugelii* varies from 64.57% (*cob*) to 99.56% (*rpl16*), and the mean similarity is 97.62%. The gene similarity between *B. rivulare* and *A. attenuatus* ranges from 64.65% (*cob*) to 99.56% (*rpl16*), and the mean similarity is 97.54%. When comparing *B. rivulare* and *P. patens*, the gene similarity varies from 53.61% (*nad4L*) to 98.24 (*rpl16*), and the mean similarity is 88.56%. Finally, the gene similarity between *B. rivulare* and *T. pellucida* varies from 37.26% (*atp9*) to 94.71% (*rpl6*), and the mean similarity is 78.87%.

Thus, similarity of the Hypnales species mitogenomes is 97.6%, whereas for species from different

Similarity of nucleotide sequences of *B. rivulare* genes with corresponding orthologs in four other mosses (%)

Gene	A. rugelii	A. attenuatus	P. patens	T. pellucida
atp1	97.08	97.14	91.20	58.92
atp4	98.70	98.70	96.09	91.85
atp6	98.29	98.29	92.94	65.91
atp8	98.63	98.63	93.50	88.00
atp9	97.58	96.64	66.24	37.26
ccmB	97.61	97.61	92.16	85.34
ccmC	98.17	98.10	91.82	83.76
ccmFC	98.13	97.81	91.18	76.95
ccmFN	97.03	97.13	85.17	74.41
cob	64.57	64.65	61.04	47.09
$\cos 1$	97.70	97.79	75.23	56.21
cox2	96.28	96.28	87.77	68.96
$\cos 3$	98.34	98.34	95.69	91.24
nad1	98.49	98.49	83.98	73.69
nad2	98.89	98.89	93.43	84.56
nad3	97.48	97.48	91.93	86.39
nad4	98.57	98.57	92.58	83.93
nad4L	96.99	96.99	53.61	66.63
nad5	98.38	97.90	84.77	74.99
nad6	99.41	99.41	95.84	92.59
nad7	98.03	98.03	90.27	NA
nad9	97.47	97.54	61.17	46.84
rpl10	97.77	97.77	NA	87.70
rpl5	99.36	99.36	96.47	NA
rpl16	99.56	99.56	98.24	94.26
rpl2	98.06	98.06	90.17	62.42
rp16	99.41	98.82	96.47	94.71
rps1	98.91	98.91	92.13	85.33
rps11	98.49	98.49	96.97	92.94
rps12	99.53	99.53	96.69	93.86
rps 13	99.51	99.51	96.59	90.24
rps14	99.40	99.40	95.80	94.00
rps19	99.36	99.36	96.17	88.51
rps2	99.50	99.50	96.78	90.33
rps3	98.33	98.33	89.76	82.65
rps4	98.78	98.78	96.02	90.20
rps7	97.64	98.43	85.75	77.13
sdh3	97.94	97.67	83.32	71.83
sdh4	98.62	98.62	95.86	91.03
tatC	96.57	96.57	92.90	84.88

Note: NA, absence of gene annotation in the compared genomes.

orders it is 78.9-88.6%. This result is in good agreement with the overall phylogeny of bryophytes, and the percentage of mitogenome similarity is correlated to the age estimated from the phylochronologic analysis [28].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to D. A. Alexeyevsky, K. V. Mikhailov, V. V. Aleshin, and A. S. Kasianov for advice and recommendations in NGS data analysis and valuable discussion. Also, we would like to thank Paul Stothard for assistance in preparation of the figures.

This study was funded by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project No. 15-04-06027) and the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 14-50-00029, construction and sequencing the DNA libraries).

REFERENCES

- 1. Lewis, L. A., Mishler, B. D., and Vilgalys, R. (1997) Phylogenetic relationships of the liverworts (Hepaticae), a basal embryophyte lineage, inferred from nucleotide sequence data of the chloroplast gene *rbcL*, *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol*., **7**, 377-393.
- 2. Samigullin, T. Kh., Yacentyuk, S. P., Degtyaryeva, G. V., Valieho-Roman, K. M., Bobrova, V. K., Capesius, I., Martin, W. F., Troitsky, A. V., Filin, V. R., and Antonov, A. S. (2002) Paraphyly of bryophytes and close relationship of hornworts and vascular plants inferred from chloroplast rDNA spacers sequence analysis, *Arctoa*, **11**, 31-43.
- 3. Liu, Y., Medina, R., and Goffinet, B. (2014) 350 My of mitochondrial genome stasis in mosses, an early land plant lineage, *Mol. Biol. Evol*., **31**, 2586-2591.
- 4. Qiu, Y. L., Li, L., Wang, B., Chen, Z., Knoop, V., Groth-Malonek, M., Dombrovska, O., Lee, J., Kent, L., Rest, J., Estabrook, G. F., Hendry, T. A., Taylor, D. W., Testa, C. M., Ambros, M., Crandall-Stotler, B., Duff, R. J., Stech, M., Frey, F., Quandt, D., and Davis, C. C. (2006) The deepest divergences in land plants inferred from phylogenomic evidence, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **103**, 15511- 15516.
- 5. Wickett, N. J., Mirarab, S., Nguyen, N., Warnow, T., Carpenter, E., Matasci, N., Ayyampalayam, S., Barker, M. S., Burleigh, J. G., Gitzendanner, M. A., Ruhfel, B. R., Wafula, E., Der, J. P., Graham, S. W., Mathews, S., Melkonian, M., Soltis, D. E., Soltis, P. S., Miles, N. W., Rothfels, C. J., Pokorny, L., Shaw, A. J., DeGironimo, L., Dennis, W., Stevenson, D. W., Surek, B., Villarreal, J. C., Roure, B., Philippe, H., dePamphilis, C. W., Chen, T., Deyholos, M. K., Baucom, R. S., Toni, M., Kutchan, T. M., Augustin, M. M., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Tian, Z., Yan, Z., Wu, X., Sun, X., Wong, G. K.-S., and Leebens-Mack, J. (2014) Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **111**, E4859-E4868.
- 6. Magallon, S., and Hilu, K. W. (2009) Land plants (Embryophyta), in *The Timetree of Life* (Hedges, S. B., and Kumar, S., eds.) Oxford University Press, pp. 133-137.
- 7. Rensing, S. A., Lang, D., Zimmer, A. D., Terry, A., Salamov, A., Shapiro, H., Nishiyama, T., Perroud, P.-F., Lindquist, E. A., Kamisugi, Y., Tanahashi, T., Sakakibara, K., Fujita, T., Oishi, K., Shin-I., T., Kuroki, Y., Toyoda, A., Suzuki, Y., Hashimoto, S.-i., Yamaguchi, K., Sugano, S., Kohara, Y., Fujiyama, A., Anterola, A., Aoki, S., Ashton, N., Barbazuk, W. B., Barker, E., Bennetzen, J. L., Blankenship, R., Sung Hyun Cho, Dutcher, S. K., Estelle, M., Fawcett, J. A., Gundlach, H., Hanada, K., Heyl, A., Hicks, K. A., Hughes, J., Lohr, M., Mayer, K., Melkozernov, A., Murata, T., Nelson, D. R., Pils, B., Prigge, M., Reiss, B., Renner, T., Rombauts, S., Rushton, P. J., Sanderfoot, A., Schween, G., Shin-Han Shiu, Stueber, K., Theodoulo, F. L., Tu, H., van de Peer, Y., Verrier, P. J., Waters, E., Wood, A., Lixing Yang, Cove, D., Cuming, A. C., Hasebe, M., Lucas, S., Mishler, B. D., Reski, R., Grigoriev, I. V., Quatrano, R. S., and Boore, J. L. (2008) The *Physcomitrella* genome reveals evolutionary insights into the conquest of land by plants, *Science*, **319**, 64-69.
- 8. Liu, Y., Xue, J.-Y., Wang, B., Li, L., and Qiu, Y.-L. (2011) The mitochondrial genomes of the early land plants *Treubia lacunosa* and *Anomodon rugelii*: dynamic and conservative evolution, *PLoS One*, **6**, e25836.
- 9. Bell, N. E., Boore, J. L., Mishler, B. D., and Hyvonen, J. (2014) Organellar genomes of the four-toothed moss, *Tetraphis pellucida*, *BMC Genom*., **15**, 383.
- 10. Liu, Y., Cox, C. J., Wang, W., and Goffinet, B. (2014) Mitochondrial phylogenomics of early land plants: mitigating the effects of saturation, compositional heterogeneity, and codon-usage bias, *Syst. Biol*., **63**, 862-878.
- 11. Terasawa, K., Odahara, M., Kabeya, Y., Kikugawa, T., Sekine, Y., Fujiwara, M., and Sato, N. (2007) The mitochondrial genome of the moss *Physcomitrella patens* sheds new light on mitochondrial evolution in land plants, *Mol. Biol. Evol*., **24**, 699-709.
- 12. Zerbino, D. R., and Birney, E. (2008) Velvet: algorithms for *de novo* short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs, *Genome Res*., **18**, 821-829.
- 13. Lingmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S. L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome, *Gen. Biol*., **10**, R25.
- 14. Milne, I., Stephen, G., Bayer, M., Cock, P. J. A., Pritchard, L., Cardle, L., Shaw, P. D., and Marshall, D. (2013) Using Tablet for visual exploration of secondgeneration sequencing data, *Brief. Bioinformatics*, **14**, 193- 202.
- 15. Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., and Drummond, A. (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data, *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 1647-1649.
- 16. Lenz, H., and Knoop, V. (2013) PREPACT 2.0: predicting C-to-U and U-to-C RNA editing in organelle genome sequences with multiple references and curated RNA editing annotation, *Bioinform. Biol. Insights*, **7**, 1-19.
- 17. Mudunuri, S. B., and Nagarajaram, H. A. (2007) IMEx: Imperfect Microsatellite Extractor, *Bioinformatics*, **23**, 1181-1187.

BIOCHEMISTRY (Moscow) Vol. 82 No. 11 2017

- 18. Wang, X., Lu, P., and Luo, Z. (2013) GMATo: a novel tool for the identification and analysis of microsatellites in large genomes, *Bioinformation*, **9**, 541-544.
- 19. Rutherford, K., Parkhill, J., Crook, J., Horsnell, T., Rice, P., Rajandream, M.-A., and Barrell, B. (2000) Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation, *Bioinformatics*, **16**, 944-945.
- 20. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool, *J. Mol. Biol*., **215**, 403-410.
- 21. Hall, T. A. (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT, *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser*., **41**, 95-98.
- 22. Guy, L., Kultima, J. R., and Andersson, S. G. (2010) GenoPlotR: comparative gene and genome visualization in R, *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 2334-2335.
- 23. Grant, J. R., and Stothard, P. (2008) The CGView server: a comparative genomics tool for circular genomes, *Nucleic Acids Res*., **36**, W181-W184.
- 24. Kubo, N., and Arimura, S.-I. (2010) Discovery of a functional *rpl10* gene in diverse plant mitochondrial genomes and its functional replacement by a nuclear gene for

chloroplast *RPL10* in two lineages of angiosperms, *DNA Res*., **17**, 1-9.

- 25. Groth-Malonek, M., Wahrmund, U., Polsakiewicz, M., and Knoop, V. (2007) Evolution of a pseudogene: exclusive survival of a functional mitochondrial *nad7* gene supports *Haplomitrium* as the earliest liverwort lineage and proposes a secondary loss of RNA editing in Marchantiidae, *Mol. Biol. Evol*., **24**, 1068-1074.
- 26. Knoop, V., Volkmar, U., Hecht, J., and Grewe, F. (2011) Mitochondrial genome evolution in the plant lineage, in *Plant Mitochondria*, *Advances in Plant Biology* (Kempken, F., ed.) Springer, N.Y., pp. 3-29.
- 27. Rudinger, M., Volkmar, U., Lenz, H., Groth-Malonek, M., and Knoop, V. (2012) Nuclear DYW-type PPR gene families diversify with increasing RNA editing frequencies in liverwort and moss mitochondria, *J. Mol. Evol*., **74**, 37-51.
- 28. Newton, A. E., Wikstrom, N., Bell, N., Forrest, L. L., and Ignatov, M. S. (2007) Dating the diversification of the pleurocarpous mosses, in *Pleurocarpous Mosses: Systematics and Evolution* (Newton, A. E., and Tangney, R. S., eds.) Systematics Association Special, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 337-366.